

Spring 2011

Thesis Presentation Outline

Penn State AE Senior Thesis



UMBC
Performing Arts &
Humanities Facility
Baltimore, MD

Courtney L Glaub
Construction Management
Dr. Chimay Anumba

Thesis Presentation Outline

Courtney Glaub – CM
Dr. Chimay Anumba



- I. Introduction (2 screens)
 - a. Present myself
 - b. What is my project
 - c. Outline of topics discussed

- II. Project Background (6 screens)
 - a. Location of project
 - b. General building info/parameters
 - c. Building stats

- III. Analysis 1 – Precast Façade – Structural Breadth (~20 screens)
 - a. Problem/goal
 - b. Original brick design
 - c. Precast design
 - d. Structural impact
 - e. Schedule impact
 - f. Cost impact
 - g. Site logistics
 - h. Conclusion

- IV. Analysis 2 – Crane Comparison (~10 screens)
 - a. Problem/goal
 - b. Crane comparison/pros & cons
 - c. Schedule impact
 - d. Cost impact
 - e. Site logistics
 - f. Conclusion

- V. Analysis 3 – PV Panels – Electrical/Structural Breadth (~25 screens)
 - a. Problem/goal
 - b. System design/parameters
 - c. Structural impact
 - d. Electrical breadth
 - e. Feasibility study/rebates
 - f. Conclusion

- VI. Overall Conclusion/Opinions (2 screens)

- VII. Acknowledgements/Questions (2 screens)

PRESENTATION OUTLINE:

- I. Project Background
- II. Analysis 1 – Precast Façade
 - I. Structural Breadth #1
- III. Analysis 2 – Crane Comparison
 - I. Constructability Review
- IV. Analysis 3 – PV Array Feasibility Study
 - I. Structural Breadth #2
 - II. Energy/Electrical Breadth
- V. Concluding Thoughts
- VI. Acknowledgements

UMBC Performing Arts & Humanities Facility
Baltimore, MD



Penn State AE Senior Capstone Project

Courtney Glaub – Construction Management
Dr. Chimay Anumba – CM Advisor

PRECAST FAÇADE DESIGN

PRESENTATION OUTLINE:

- I. PROJECT BACKGROUND
 - I. LOCATION/INFO
 - II. BUILDING STATS
- I. ANALYSIS 1 – PRECAST FAÇADE
 - I. DESIGN
 - II. STRUCTURAL IMPACT
 - III. SCHEDULE/COST IMPACT
 - IV. SITE LOGISTICS
- II. ANALYSIS 2 – CRANE COMPARISON
 - I. CRANE LOGISTICS
 - II. SCHEDULE/COST IMPACT
 - III. SITE LOGISTICS
- III. ANALYSIS 3 – PV ARRAY STUDY
 - I. SYSTEM DESIGN
 - II. STRUCTURAL IMPACT
 - III. ENERGY/ELECTRICAL IMPACT
 - IV. FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS
- IV. CONCLUDING THOUGHTS
- V. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS



IMAGE COURTESY OF WHITING-TURNER

PROBLEM IDENTIFICATION:

- COMPLETE PROJECT ON TIME AND EFFICIENTLY
- BUILDING IS MADE UP OF THREE DIFFERENT STRUCTURAL ELEMENTS
- DELAYS ENCOUNTERED DUE TO ADJACENT WORK BEING COMPLETED

RESEARCH GOAL:

- PERFORM PRELIMINARY DESIGN OF PRECAST FAÇADE
- REDUCE MASONRY SCHEDULE AND ELIMINATE ANY DELAYS

Site Logistics Image

CRANE COMPARISON

PRESENTATION OUTLINE:

- I. PROJECT BACKGROUND
 - I. LOCATION/INFO
 - II. BUILDING STATS
- I. ANALYSIS 1 – PRECAST FACADE
 - I. DESIGN
 - II. STRUCTURAL IMPACT
 - III. SCHEDULE/COST IMPACT
 - IV. SITE LOGISTICS
- II. ANALYSIS 2 – CRANE COMPARISON
 - I. CRANE LOGISTICS
 - II. SCHEDULE/COST IMPACT
 - III. SITE LOGISTICS
- III. ANALYSIS 3 – PV ARRAY STUDY
 - I. SYSTEM DESIGN
 - II. STRUCTURAL IMPACT
 - III. ENERGY/ELECTRICAL IMPACT
 - IV. FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS
- IV. CONCLUDING THOUGHTS
- V. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS



IMAGE COURTESY OF WHITING-TURNER, MULTIVISTA

PROBLEM IDENTIFICATION:

- TIME EFFICIENCY/COMPLETION ON TIME
- TIME TO MOBILIZE TOWER CRANE
- COST TO USE TOWER CRANE

RESEARCH GOAL:

- REDUCE COST & SCHEDULE BY UTILIZING MOBILE CRANES
- ACCELERATE SCHEDULE & COMPLETE PROJECT ON TIME

